



**In the High Court of Justice  
King's Bench Division**

**KB-2024-001774**



**In the matter of an application for a Civil Restraint Order**

**PERSEUS VENTURES LIMITED**

**KB-2024-001774  
Claimant**

**-and-**

**DAVID FOSKETT AND OTHERS**

**Defendants**

**On an application by the Defendants to for a Restraint  
Order to be made against the Claimant**

Following consideration of the documents lodged by the Defendant dated 21 August 2025 seeking a Civil Restraint Order ["CRO"] or Extended Civil Restraint Order ["ECRO"] and the reply by the Claimant

**ORDER by the Hon. Mr Justice Bennathan:**

**The application for the CRO or ECRO is refused**

**No order as to costs**

**REASONS**

1. I have every sympathy with the Defendants in this case who have been endlessly troubled by ill founded, eccentric and defamatory claims including applications correctly held to be Totally Without Merit.
2. This case was considered by Murray J who made an order on 18 August 2025 in which he considered but held back

from making a CRO. His order, in declining to make a CRO, included the sentence, *"It may be necessary to do so, however, in due course should the Applicant issue any further claims or applications relating to this or any related matter that the court finds to be totally without merit."*

3. Since Murray J's order the Claimant has not make any further claims or applications: He has written seeking to debate the terms of that order, which Murray J has properly ignored as it is not for judges to argue about the merits of an order once it has been made. He has also written in eccentric and offensive terms in resisting the application for a CRO or ECRO but he has not made any applications of his own within that response. Neither his letter seeking to debate the 18 August order or his reply to the Defendants' application has necessitated the Defendants having to reply. Given another judge has declined to make a CRO, and the Claimant has not since made any further claims or applications, it does not seem to me proper for me to make an order that would go behind Murray J's decision.
4. I refuse the Claimant's application for costs for resisting the CRO application: while normally costs would be awarded for successfully defeating an application, I decline to do so here given both the outstanding costs orders against the Claimant and his general conduct of these proceedings.
5. Although it will almost certainly be a judge other than myself who deals with any further applications by the Claimant, if any are made and they too are totally without merit, I would anticipate a CRO or ECRO would almost certainly be imposed.

Signed: **Mr Justice Bennathan** Dated: **2.10.25**

**The date of service of this order is calculated from the date in the section below**

**For completion by the King's Bench Division Court Office**

Sent / Handed to